# Statistical Measures for Characterising **MWEs**

Ismail El Maarouf, and Michael Oakes

i.el-maarouf@wlv.ac.uk, michael.oakes@wlv.ac.uk



• Automatic identification of MWE • Corpus Pattern Analysis (Hanks, 2013), DVC project. • New text distance-based measures. • The Pattern Dictionary of English Verbs (http://pdev.org.uk) • Comparison with standard association measures • Identification, representation and annotation of MWEs Measures of word association and flexibility for the extraction of MWEs 1. Measuring strength of collocations with Pointwise Mutual Information Where P(x,y) is the probability of two words occurring in a common context (e.g. span of 5 words, or in subject-object  $PMI(x, y) = log_2 \frac{P(x, y)}{P(x) \cdot P(y)}$ relation), and P(x) and P(y) are the probabilities of finding words x and y respectively anywhere in the corpus. PMI is positive if the two words tend to co-occur, 0 if they occur together as often as one would expect by chance, and less than 0 if they are in complementary distribution (Church and Hanks, 1989). 2. Two widely used association measures logDice **T-score**  $Tscore(x,y) = \frac{F(x,y) - \frac{F_x,F_y}{N}}{\sqrt{F_{xy}}}$  $logDice(x,y) = 14 + log_2D = 14 + log_2\frac{2F_{xy}}{F_x + F_y}$ 3. Measuring flexibility of collocations using Shannon's Diversity Index (Entropy) Standard Deviation  $\sigma$  of text distances **Mean**  $\mu$  of text distances **Entropy** E of text distances  $\sigma_{(X,Y)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (dist(X_i, Y_i) - \mu_{(X,Y)})^2} \qquad E_{(X,Y)} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_j log_2 P_j$  $\mu_{(X,Y)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} dist(X_i, Y_i)$  $I diamaticity_{(X,Y)} = \frac{number \ of \ idiamatic \ occurrences \ of \ (X,Y)}{total \ number \ of \ occurrences \ of \ (X,Y)}$ 

**Research** context

4. Measuring Idiomaticity of collocations

Introduction

## Statistical scores for 10 MWEs

Idiom	Freq	PMI	T-score	Log-Dice	Idiomaticity	Entropy	Mean length	Standard deviation
[back][bite]	87	5.914	10.38	5.549	0.989	0.338	1.057	0.277
[bullet][bite]	36	10.484	6.477	8.561	1	1.069	2.055	0.404
[head][bite][off]	30	6.009	7.639	5.6	0.775	3.281	3.032	2.721
[bug][bite]	19	10.589	4.688	7.894	0.842	3.326	3.125	2.578
[hand][bite][BENEFIT]	15	5.584	7.639	5.196	1	2.463	5.933	5.842
[bean][spill]	40	10.947	6.705	8.917	0.952	0.37	2.025	0.987
[straw][grasp/clutch]	33	9.865	6.077	8.172	0.892	2.213	3.485	1.623
[way][wind][blows]	21	10.663	25.264	10.652	0.676	2.488	3.5	0.534
[shoe/boot][quake/shiver/shake]	12	5.043	5.056	5.608	1	2.057	3.417	1.382
[bucket][kick]	5	8.647	4.349	7.004	0.238	0.721	3.5	1.87

### **Comparison using Pearson's correlation**

	Freq	PMI	t-score	Log-Dice	Idiomaticity	Entropy	Mean length	Standard deviation
Freq	1							
PMI	-0.13	1						
t-score	0.1	0.2	1					
Log-Dice	-0.13	0.92 *	0.53	1				
idiomaticity	0.47	-0.26	-0.09	-0.22	1			
Entropy	-0.31	-0.37	-0.04	-0.32	0.12	1		
Mean length	-0.73*	-0.25	0.01	-0.2	-0.13	0.55	1	
Standard deviation	-0.46	-0.41	-0.28	-0.51	-0.03	0.51	0.84*	1

### Conclusions

- Most statistically significant correlation (p = 0.000185, cor = 0.92)was between PMI and logDice.
- Significant correlation between the mean and the standard deviation of the length in words (p = 0.0022, cor = 0.84)
- Inverse correlation between frequency and mean length (p = 0.0174, cor = -0.73)
- New measures may not be useful for discovering new MWEs, but useful for characterising MWEs.
- Future work: (1) Confidence limits, (2) Apply to other languages

#### References

- Kenneth W. Church and Patrick Hanks. 1989. Word Association Norms, Mutual Information and Lexicography. In Proc. ACL: 76-83.
- Patrick Hanks. 2013. Lexical Analysis: Norms and Exploitations. MIT Press. - Michael P. Oakes. 2012. Describing a Translational Corpus. In Oakes, M. P. and Ji, M., Quantitative Methods in Corpus-Based Translation Studies. John Benjamins: 115-148.

- Pavel Rychlý. 2008. A lexicographer-friendly association score. In Proc. of RASLAN 2008: 6-9.

This work was partly supported by a AHRC grant [DVC, AH/J005940/1, 2012-2015]



University Alexandru Ioan Cuza, Iasi, Romania

<sup>-</sup> Pavel Pecina. 2008. Lexical Association Measures: Collocation Extraction. PhD thesis, Charles University in Prague.