Processing MWEs in Machine Translation Systems

Amalia Todirascu, University of Strasbourg, France, Johanna Monti, University of Sassari, Italy WG3/WG 3.2 MWE and Translation

Multiword Expressions (MWEs)

- lexical items composed of several lexemes which display lexical, syntactic, semantic, pragmatic and/or statistical idiomaticity (Baldwin and Kim (2010) and (Sag et al., 2002)
- several categories (idiomatic expressions, proverbs, collocations, compound words, domain-specific terms, named entities, lexical bundles, ...)
- Fixedness vs. variability (morpho-syntactic, syntactic)
- Non-compositional vs compositional meaning

	Т	rans	lati	on i	prob	lems (Hurskainen,	2008)
--	---	------	------	------	------	--------	-------------	-------

Word-for-word translation strategy fails:

- ☐MWE non-compositionality
- □Strong lexical preferences
- ☐ Morpho-syntactic and syntactic variability

Specific translation strategies for:

- Compounds
- □ Named entities
- ☐ Terms

Statistical MT systems

Frequency criteria

- □Phrase based tables => detecting fixed MWE
- ☐ Hybrid methods
 - linguistic and contextual properties of MWE
- frequency criteria and morpho-syntactic properties
- Linguistic rules
- POS tags and lemmas (Bouamor *et al.*, 2012) (Caseli *et al.*, 2009)
- Syntactic information (Venkatapathy and Joshi, 2006)

Example based MT systems

•MWE translation examples as linguistic rules (Franz *et al.* 2000), (Gangadharaiah and Balakrishnan, 2006)

Rule based MT systems

- lexical approaches to identify and translate contiguous MWE, using existing monolingual or bilingual dictionaries
- ☐ compositional approaches to identify and translate MWE using linguistic rules OpenLogos (Scott, 2003; Scott and Barreiro, 2009; Barreiro *et al.*, 2011)

Integrating MWE in MT systems

- ☐ Preprocessing MWE before word alignment
 - Using external resources
 - → Specific tools
 - Linguistic methods (Wehrli et al., 2009)(Seretan, 2009)
 - Statistical methods (Smadja, 1993, Evert, 2005)
 - Hybrid methods (Ramisch *et al.*, 2013)
- ☐ MWE alignment
 - ➤ Methods using simple word alignment to find MWE alignment (Melamed, 1997) (Okita *et al.*, 2012)
 - Statistical measures to find parallel MWE (Pal *et al.*, 2013)

Specific strategies

□Terms (Bouamor *et al*, 2012):

Monolingual term extraction tools and alignment (Macken *et al.*, 2008), (Kontonatsios, 2014)

External resources (Wu et al., 2008)

■ Named Entities (Tan and Pal, 2014):

NER recognizers

Multilingual collections

□Compounds:

splitting method and the compounds are added to the training corpus (Weller *et al.*, 2014) (Cap *et al.*, 2014), (Ullman and Nivre, 2014) Rule-based methods

Evaluation

- ☐ MWE annotation is difficult (variability, discontinous MWE)
- ☐ Lack of annotated resources
- ☐ Hand-made corpus representative for specific phenomena: verb compounds for English and French (Ramisch *et al.*, 2013) aligned, sometimes discontinuous verb+noun collocations for French and Romanian (Navlea, 2014), compound nouns for English and German (Parra Escartin *et al.*, 2014), Support verb constructions (Barreiro *et al.*, 2014)
- ☐ Comparison of MWE processing in different MT systems (Barreiro *et al.* 2013 and 2014, Monti *et al.* 2011 and 2013)