# [DISC] Discovery issues

Eleri Aedmaa, Chloé Artaud, Goranka Blagus Bartolec, Guillaume Chiron, Vittorio Ganfi, Daniela Majchráková, Justina Mandravickaite, Shiva Taslimipoor Supervisor: Fabienne Cap

the 2nd PARSEME Training School in La Rochelle, 2016

- Token- vs. type-based identification of MWEs
- Conflating variants of the same MWE.
- How to apply MWEs for post-OCR correction?

- Expressions which are MWEs in some contexts
- Shorter MWEs are more problematic
- Example: have children
  - She had her child in this hospital. (idiomatic: giving birth)
  - A: Do you have children? B: Yes, I have two.
- Croatian: dignuti ruke
  - word for word translation: to raise hands(acc. pl.)
  - idiomatic meaning: 'surrender, give up'
  - literal meaning: to raise hands (e.g. during training)

### Token- vs. type-based identification of MWEs

#### Solutions:

- Some previous work on word sense disambiguation
- Detecting MWEs in context
  - Extracting semantic and syntactic features from context
  - How large should the context be?
- Classifying sentences that include expressions

- Problem: How automatically detect that various abbreviations or acronyms are the same MWE?
- **Example**: French for "overtime" in salary slips:
  - Heures supplémentaires
  - H. Supp.
  - Heures suppl
  - Heures supplém.
  - ∎ HS
- Solutions:
  - Use of regular expressions
  - Extraction of complete MWE from Google Result Page

## MWEs for post-OCR correction?

#### Problem:

- Poor recognition rate (<70%)</p>
- Automatic approach needed
- Small annotated corpora
- Hypothesis: OCRs tend to reproduce same mistakes
- Paradigm: Machine translation problem (decoding). Learn to talk the "OCR output" language.

### Solution:

- N-gram model,
- MWEs model, even misspelled ones (no semantics attached)
- Levenshtein distance

- Token- vs. type-based identification of MWEs
- Conflating variants of the same MWE.
- How to apply MWEs for post-OCR correction?