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DISCLAIMER: this guide was written for German (DE), Spanish (ES), French (FR), Italian (IT), Portuguese (PT), Polish (PL) and Romanian (RO). This version was adapted from version 1.1

Reflexive clitics (RCLI) are clitic pronouns that refer to the subject of the verb, like oneself in English. They are very common in many languages and play several semantic roles depending on the context, as detailed below. Reflexive verbs (REFLV), sometimes also called pronominal verbs, are formed by a full verb combined with a RCLI, although the clitic does not always have a reflexive meaning. REFLV can be categorized into different classes, some of which should be annotated as verbal MWEs. Namely, we will only annotate a REFLV as an inherently reflexive verb (IRefLV) when (a) it never occurs without the clitic, or (b) the REFLV and non-reflexive versions have clearly different senses or subcategorization frames. We start by listing rapidly the various categories of REFLV before providing tests to decide whether to annotate a given occurrence as IRefLV.

- Inherently reflexive ⇒ ANNOTATE as IRefLV
  - The verb without the RCLI does not exist
    - DE *sich schämen* (be ashamed), *sich wundern* (wonder)
    - ES *suicidarse* (suicide), *abstenerse* (to abstain)
    - FR *s’évanouir* (faint), *se suicider* (suicide)
    - IT *suicidarsi* (suicide), *arrabbiarsi* (get angry)
    - PL *dowiedzieć się* (find out), *bać się* (be afraid)
    - PT *queixar-se* (complain), *abster-se* (abstain)
    - RO *a se teme* (be afraid)
  - The verb without the RCLI does exist, but has a very different meaning
    - DE *sich enthalten ≠ enthalten* (abstain ≠ contain), *sich (um etw.) handeln ≠ handeln* (be ≠ handle)
    - ES *recoger ≠ recogerse* (gather ≠ go home), *empeñar ≠ empeñarse* (pawn ≠ insist)
    - FR *s’apercevoir ≠ apercevoir* (realize ≠ see), *s’agir ≠ agir* (be ≠ act)
    - IT *rifire ≠ riferirsi* (report, tell ≠ refer)
    - PL *znajdować ≠ znajdować się* (find ≠ be), *radzić ≠ radzić sobie* (advise ≠ manage)
    - PT *encontrar-se ≠ encontrar* (be ≠ meet), *referir-se ≠ referir* (concern ≠ refer)

1 Color codes in this document still need to be adapted to the main guidelines.
RO a îndura ≠ a se îndura (suffer ≠ have the heart)

- **Reciprocal ⇒ NOT ANNOTATED**
  - The RCLI has a sense of **mutuality**:
    - DE **sich küszen** (kiss each other), **sich treffen** (meet each other)
    - ES **besarse** (kiss each other), **verse** (see each other)
    - FR **s’embrasser** (kiss each other), **se rencontrer** (meet each other)
    - IT **baciarsi** (kiss each other)
    - PL **całować się** (kiss each other), **spotykać się** (meet each other)
    - PT **cumprimentar-se** (greet each other), **ver-se** (see each other)
    - RO **a se saluta** (greet each other)

- **Reflexive ⇒ NOT ANNOTATED**
  - The RCLI marks the reflexive or reciprocal construction, that is, the clitic plays the role of **self** in English
    - DE **sich waschen** (wash oneself), **sich kratzen** (scratch oneself)
    - ES **mirarse** (look at oneself), **vestirse** (dress oneself)
    - FR **se laver** (wash oneself), **se parler** (talk to oneself)
    - IT **lavarsi** (wash oneself), **vestirsi** (dress oneself)
    - PL **myć się** (wash oneself), **drapać się po głowie** (scratch oneself on the head)
    - PT **apressar-se** (hurry oneself), **vestir-se** (dress oneself)
    - RO **a se spăla** (wash oneself)

- **Body part, also called possessive reflexive ⇒ NOT ANNOTATED**
  - Specific type of reflexive use in which the direct object is a **body part** or, more generally, an inalienable part of the subject
    - **Note**: the RCLI regularly alternates with a non-reflexive dative clitic, but does not alternate with an indirect PP
    - DE **sich das Bein brechen** (lit. RCLI the leg break, 'break one's leg')
    - ES **rascarse el brazo** (lit. scratch.RCLI the arm, 'scratch one's arm')
    - FR **se gratter la tête** (lit. RCLI scratch the head, 'scratch one's head')
    - IT **grattarsi la testa** (lit. RCLI scratch the head, 'scratch one's head')
    - PL **myć sobie nogi** (lit. wash RCLI.DAT the feet, 'wash one's feet')
    - PT impossible, uses possessive instead
    - RO **a-și rupe mâna** (RCLI.DAT break arm, 'break one's arm')

- **Middle with preverbal subject, also called synthetic passive ⇒ NOT ANNOTATED**
  - The clitic marks a regular syntactic alternation for transitive verbs. Just like in regular passive alternation, the direct object of the transitive version appears as the subject of the REFLV version, and thus the verb agrees with the subject.
  - Differently from inchoative (see below), the subject of the transitive version is absent in the REFLV version but it exists necessarily, though it is underspecified
    - DE **die Häuser verkaufen sich gut** (lit. the houses sell RCLI well, 'the houses sell well')
    - ES **las casas se venden bien** (lit. the houses RCLI sell well, 'the houses sell well')
    - FR **les pots se vendent bien** (lit. the pots RCLI sell well, 'the pots sell well')
    - IT **le case si affittano** (lit. the houses RCLI rent, 'the houses are rented')
    - PL **domy dobrze się sprzedają** (lit. houses sell.PL RCLI well, 'houses sell well')
Middle with postverbal subject, also called synthetic passive ⇒ NOT ANNOTATED

- In some languages, middle alternation with preverbal subject sounds unnatural and middle alternation with postverbal subject is preferred. Depending on the languages, it is viewed as a postverbal subject (ES, PL, PT, RO) or as an object which agrees with the unaccusative verb form (IT). Middle alternation with postverbal subject is impossible in FR and DE.

Note: To simplify the tests, we treat the postverbal argument as a subject in all the following examples

- ES se alquilan casas (lit. RCLI rent houses, 'people rent houses')
- IT si affittano case (lit. RCLI rent houses, 'people rent houses')
- PL dobrze sprzedają się te domy (lit. well sell RCLI these houses, 'these houses sell well')

Note: Polish is a relatively free word-order language and a postverbal subject is a regular (even if stylistically marked) alternation

- PT alugam-se casas (lit. rent-RCLI houses, 'people rent houses')
- RO se vând bine apartamentele din blocurile noi (lit. RCLI sell well apartments-the from blocks-the new, 'Apartments from new blocks sell well')

Impersonal ⇒ NOT ANNOTATED

- The RCLI marks an impersonal verb alternation possible for various transitivity classes, depending on the language: only transitive verbs (FR), only intransitive verbs with manner adjuncts (DE), preferably intransitive but tolerated for transitive verbs (PT), either transitive or intransitive verbs (IT, ES, RO, PL)
- There is no noun phrase before the verb (empty subject slot), the presence of the RCLI indicates a verb interpreted with a generic and underspecified subject
- The verb is in third person singular, even when the object is plural

- DE hier tanzt es sich gut (lit. here dances it RCLI well, 'people dance well here')
- ES se busca a actores (lit. RCLI searches to actors, 'people look for actors')
- se trabaja mejor aquí (lit. RCLI works better here, 'people work better here')
- FR il se dit des bêtises (lit. it RCLI says silly things, 'people say silly things')
- IT si lavora troppo (lit. RCLI works too much, 'people work too much')
- si affitta molte case (lit. RCLI rents many houses, 'people rent many houses')
- PL za duzo się pracuje (lit. too much RCLI works, 'people work too much')
- bzdury się opowiada (lit. nonsense RCLI tells, 'people tell nonsense')
- PT dorme-se muito (lit. sleeps-RCLI much, 'people sleep a lot')
- conta-se histórias (lit. tells-RCLI stories, 'people tell stories')

Note: transitive impersonal is considered wrong by traditional PT grammars but it is found in corpora

- RO se lucrează până târziu. (lit. RCLI works until late, 'people work until late')
- se citesc multe romane (lit RCLI read many novels 'people read many novels')

Inchoative ⇒ NOT ANNOTATED

- Similar to middle, but the RCLI marks a less productive syntactic alternation:
  - the direct object of the transitive version appears as subject of the REFLV
  - the subject of the transitive version is not only absent, it is also semantically unclear or nonexistent
    - DE die Tür öffnet sich (the door opens)
Tests for annotating inherently reflexive verbs

We suggest some tests to decide when to annotate an IRefIv. Before describing the specific tests, we provide a synthetic decision tree that guides their application:

1. **Inherent**
   Does the verb only exist with the RCLI and never occurs without it?
   - **If YES:** annotate as IRefIv
   - **If NO:** APPLY test 2 - Diff-sense
     - **If YES:** annotate as IRefIv
     - **If NO or UNSURE:** APPLY test 3 - Diff-subcat
       - **If YES:** annotate as IRefIv
       - **If NO:**
         - **If verb has no subject:** APPLY test 4 - Impersonal
           - **If YES:** DO NOT ANNOTATE
           - **If NO:** ANNOTATE as IRefIv
         - **If verb has a subject:** APPLY test 5 - Middle-Inchoative
           - **If YES:** DO NOT ANNOTATE
           - **If NO:**
             - **If verb has no subject:** APPLY test 6 - Reflexive
               - **If YES:** DO NOT ANNOTATE
               - **If NO:**
                 - **If subject is SINGULAR:** APPLY test 7 - Reflexive-mutual
                   - **If YES:** DO NOT ANNOTATE
                   - **If NO:** ANNOTATE as IRefIv
                 - **If subject is PLURAL:** APPLY test 8 - Reciprocal
                   - **If YES:** DO NOT ANNOTATE
                   - **If NO:** ANNOTATE as IRefIv

The tests below should discriminate between IRefIv (which are verbal MWEs) and other REFLV cases (which are regular and productive constructions). Language-specific sections of the guidelines should decline/translate the tests below.

1. **Inherent**
   Does the verb only exist with the RCLI and never occurs without it?
   - **If YES:** annotate as IRefIv
     - **DE** sich schämen - *schämen (be ashamed), sich wundern - *wundern (wonder)
     - **ES** suicidarse - *suicidar (suicide), abstenerse - *abstener (abstain)
     - **FR** s'évanouir - *évanouir (faint), se suicider - *suicider (suicide)
- IT suicidarsi - *suicidare (suicide)
- PL dowiedzieć się - *dowiedzieć (find out), bać się - *bać (be afraid)
- PT queixar-se - *queixar (complain), abster-se - *abster (abstain)
- RO a se teme - *a teme (be afraid)

2. Diff-sense
Given the same verb without the RCLI, are all of its meanings clearly different from the REFLV form?
- If YES: annotate as IRefLV
  - DE sich verstehen ≠ verstehen (get along well ≠ understand)
  - ES recoger ≠ recogerse (pick up, gather ≠ go home)
  - FR s'apercevoir ≠ apercevoir (realize ≠ see), s'agir ≠ agir (be ≠ act)
  - IT riferire ≠ riferirsi (report, tell ≠ refer)
  - PL znajdować się (to find oneself, to be)
  - PT encontrar-se ≠ encontrar (be ≠ meet), referir-se ≠ referir (concern ≠ refer)
  - RO a se îndura ≠ a îndura (have the heart to ≠ suffer)

3. Diff-subcat
Given the same verb without the RCLI and with the same/similar meaning as the REFLV form, does it have a different subcategorization frame?
- If YES: annotate as IRefLV
  - DE X verliert sich in Y X verliert Y (lit. X looses RCLI in Y, X looses Y)
  - ES X se olvidó de Y X olvidó Y (lit. X RCLI forgot of Y, 'X forgot Y').
  - FR X se confesse de Y X confesse Y (lit. X RCLI confesses of Y, 'X confesses Y')
  - IT X si è dimenticato di Y X ha dimenticato Y (lit. X RCLI forgot of Y, 'X forgot Y')
  - PL X tłumaczy się z pomyłek X tłumaczy pomyłki (lit. X explains SELF of errors, 'X explains errors')
  - PT X se esqueceu de Y X esqueceu Y (lit. X RCLI forgot of Y, 'X forgot Y')
  - RO X se gândește la Y X gândește că Y (X RCLI thinks of Y, X thinks that Y)

4. Impersonal, applicable when no preverbal noun phrase and verb in 3rd person singular
When you replace the RCLI by an underspecified subject such as one or people, does the sentence keep its meaning?
- If YES: do not annotate as verbal MWE
  - DE hier tanzt es sich gut hier tanzen die Leute gut (people dance well here)
  - ES se duerme mucho las personas duermen mucho (people sleep a lot)
  - FR il se dit des bêtises les personnes disent des bêtises (people say silly things)
  - IT si dorme molto le persone dormono molto (people sleep a lot)
  - PL pracuje się za duzo ludzie pracują za duzo (people work too much)
  - PT dorme-se muito as pessoas dormem muito (people sleep a lot)
conta-se histórias as pessoas contam histórias (people tell stories)
- RO se lucreză până târziu lumea lucrează până târziu (people work until late)
  se citește multe romane lumea citește multe romane (people read many novels)
- If NO: annotate as IRefLV

5. **Middle-Inchoative, applicable when there is a subject (either pre- or postverbal)**
   When you move the subject to the object position, remove the RCLI and add a generic subject
   (people, somebody), thus building a transitive version, does it imply the REFLV version? In other
   words, people/somebody V [to] X X REFLV?
   
   **Note:** logic implication is unidirectional. The REFLV version does not necessarily imply the transitive version
   **Note:** depending on the meaning, either use someone or people: people has to be used when the middle version
   describes a generic habit
   - If YES: do not annotate as verbal MWE
     - DE man kann die Häuser gut verkaufen die Häuser verkaufen sich gut (people
can sell the houses well the houses sell well)
       jemand öffnet die Tür die Tür öffnet sich (somebody opens the door the door
       opens)
     - ES la gente cuenta historias se cuentan historias (people tell stories stories
       are told)
       alguien abrió la puerta la puerta se abrió (somebody opened the door the
       door opened)
     - FR on vend bien ce produit ce produit se vend bien (people sell this product well
       this product sells well)
       quelqu'un ouvre la porte la porte s'ouvre, (somebody opens the door the
       door opens)
     - IT qualcuno vende bene questo prodotto questo prodotto si vende bene
       (someone people sells this product well this product sells well)
       qualcuno apre la porta la porta si apre (somebody opens the door the
       door opens)
     - PL ktoś sprzedaje te domy te domy się sprzedają (somebody sells these houses
       these houses sell well)
       ktoś otwiera drzwi drzwi się otwierają (somebody opens the door the
       door opens)
     - PT alguém conta histórias contam-se histórias (somebody tells stories stories
       are told)
       alguém acalmou o menino o menino se acalmou (somebody calmed the boy
       down the boy calmed down)
     - RO cineva spune glume. se spun glume (somebody tells jokes jokes are told)
       cineva a deschis u a u a s-a deschis (somebody opened the door the door
       opened)
   - If NO: next test

6. **Reflexive, applicable when there is a subject**
   When you replace the RCLI by oneself only or to oneself only, does it imply the REFLV version?
   In other words, X V [to] himself only X REFLV?
   
   **Note:** The logical implication operator is unidirectional: The REFLV version does not necessarily imply that you apply
7. Reflexive-mutual, applicable when the subject is singular

Is a reciprocal version possible? Namely: Is it acceptable to replace the singular subject by a plural and add each other to the REFLV form without changing the REFLV's meaning?

Note: The sentence changes its meaning, but the REFLV is still used in the same sense

- If YES: do not annotate as verbal MWE  
  - DE Paul kratzt nur sich selbst  Paul kratzt sich (Paul scratches himself)
  - ES Pablo se lava a sí mismo  Pablo se lava (Paul washes himself)
  - FR Paul ne soigne que lui-même  Paul se soigne (Paul heals himself)
  - IT Paolo cura solo a se stesso  Paolo si cura (Paul heals himself)
  - PL Paweł leczy tylko siebie  Paweł leczy się (Paul heals himself)
  - PT Paulo só lava a si mesmo  Paulo se lava (Paul washes himself)
  - RO Paul se spală doar pe sine.  Paul se spală. (Paul washes himself.)

- If NO or if you are unsure:
  - The subject is singular: test Reflexive-mutual
  - The subject is plural or coordinated (Bob and Alice): test Reciprocal

8. Reciprocal, applicable when the subject is plural

Is it possible to remove the RCLI and replace the coordinated subject (A and B) or plural subject (A.PL) by a singular subject (A or A.PL) and a singular object, often introduced by to/with (B or A.PL), without changing the REFLV's meaning? That is:

- Coordinated subject: A and B PronV  A V [to/with] B and B V [to/with] A?

Note: even though the equivalent formulation sounds weird, it keeps the sentence's meaning. Alternatively, one can try to add the modifier mutually/each other to the REFLV version without changing its meaning.

- If YES: do not annotate as verbal MWE

---

2 Caution: the test applies only if test 2 has failed. For example, for X se marie (X gets married) in French, it is odd though possible to say X et Y se marient mutuellement (X and Y marry each other), but this does not mean X se marie, because it is only possible if X and Y are marriage officiants.
Problematic cases and remarks

Polysemy

Keep in mind that both simple and reflexive verbs can have several senses. In test 2, we ask that ALL senses you can think of are different from the REFLV form in the given context. For example, French verb trouver can mean to find something, to have an opinion about something, discover something, etc. But it has a totally different and unrelated meaning of to be (located at) in the sentence L'église se trouve à Paris (the church is located in Paris). It should thus be annotated as a MWE. As the REFLV is polysemous itself, it should NOT be annotated as IRefIv in sentences like Elle se trouve grosse (she finds herself fat) where it means have an opinion about (herself), equivalent to the non-reflexive version.

Clitics position and concatenation

In some languages the clitics are joint with the verb, sometimes using a hyphen but not always. When there is no hyphen, the REFLV will probably be tokenized as a single token in the corpus.

---

3 Although one can imagine that the locative meaning derives from a middle alternation one can find the church in Paris, but still the current meaning of se trouver is now far from a middle, since there is no interpretable additional agent argument.
Some idiomatic constructions include reflexive clitics. Two cases are possible:

- If a syntactically comparable literal construction is impossible or the REFLV would not be annotated in syntactically comparable literal constructions, annotate only the ID
  - DE sich (über etwas) im Klaren sein (dass S) (lit. RCLI about s.th. in the clear be, 'be aware of s.th./that S') - *sich in N sein, dass
  - ES darse cuenta de (realize) - *darse N de for any noun N
    meterse en líos (get in trouble) - REFLV not annotated in literal equivalents like meterse en una tienda (get in a store)
  - FR se rendre compte de (realize) - *se rendre N de for any noun N
    s'arracher les cheveux (lit. RCLI tear the hair, 'worry') - REFLV not annotated in literal equivalents like s'arracher un ongle (tear oneself's nail)
  - IT rendersi conto di (realize) - *si rende N di for any noun N
    si strappa i capelli (lit. RCLI tear the hair, 'worry') - REFLV not annotated in literal equivalents like strapparsi un unghia (tear oneself's nail)
  - PL zdawać sobie sprawę z (realize) - *zdawać sobie N z N
  - PT dar-se mal (fail) - dar-se ADV intransitive is acceptable only for antonym bem (well)
    meter-se numa fria (lit. get-RCLI in a cold, 'get in trouble') - REFLV not annotated in literal equivalent like meter-se numa cabine (get into a cabin)
  - RO el îşi dă seama (he realizes) - REFLV not annotated in literal equivalent like el îşi dă bani pe cărţi (he spends his money on books)
    se duce pe apa sâmbetei (RCLI go on water-the Saturday-of, 'to get lost') - REFLV not annotated in literal equivalent se duce pe apa Bistri ei (he goes on the river Bistrița)

- If the REFLV would be annotated as IReflV in syntactically comparable literal constructions, annotate both the IReflV and the ID as embedded MWEs (rare)
  - PT virar-se nos trinta = virar-se (get by) ≠ virar (turn/become)
PL rozlatywać się w proch (lit. scatter itself into dust, 'disappear')

Overlap LVC - IRefI

It is rare, although possible, to find light verb constructions in which a reflexive clitic changes the original meaning significantly, thus characterising an IRefI:

- DE Fragen stellen (ask questions), sich Fragen stellen (doubt/hesitate)
- FR poser des questions (ask questions), se poser des questions (doubt/hesitate)

In this case, the whole construction, including the verb, the noun and the reflexive clitic, must be annotated as ID, since there are two syntactic arguments:

- DE sich Fragen stellen
- FR se poser question

Notice that annotating only the verb and the RCLI as IRefI would be wrong, since it will have a completely different meaning without the noun, sometimes even coinciding with another IRefI like the FR example below:

- DE sich stellen (surrender)
- FR se poser (sit/lay down)

Dative clitics and double clitics

In some languages, e.g. Polish, clitics inflect for case. While most cases of IRefI seem to be restricted to the accusative case (PL bać się 'to be afraid'), other cases can appear in IRefI, e.g. PL radzić sobie (lit. to advise oneself.DAT 'to manage'). Some expressions have double clitics, e.g. PL przyglądać się sobie (lit. to observe RCLI.acc RCLI.DAT, 'to observe each other'), PL radzić sobie z sobą (lit. to advise RCLI.DAT with RCLI.INST 'to manage with oneself'). Here, only the first two words belong to the IRefI.

Non-reflexive clitics

This category does not cover other types of pronouns and clitics. They are covered by regular ID tests and should be annotated as such. Examples of constructions that should be annotated as ID rather than IRefI include:

- FR l'emporter (win), s'en aller (leave), en avoir marre (be fed up), il y avoir (exist)
- IT prender-ci (make the right choice), prender-le (be beaten)