## Finding your way through the light verb jungle: the case of Odia

## Kalyanamalini Sahoo E Maarten Lemmens

Université de Lille \& UMR 8163 STL, France
kalyanirs@gmail.com; maarten.lemmens@univ-lille3.fr
In this paper, we present our corpus-based, constructional account of light verb constructions in the IndoAryan language Odia (earlier known as Oriya). These light verb (LV) constructions are asymmetric complex verb predicates of the form $V-i-v$, combining a main verb $(V)$ with a light verb $(v)$, joined by the linking morpheme $-i$. In Odia, there are 10 'true' LVs (see Lemmens \& Sahoo (forthc.) for discussion):

(2) STATIVE verbs: -bas '-sit', -rah '-stay'
(3) TRANSFER verbs: -de '-give', -ne '-take'

While the LVs are form-identical with a lexical verb, they have lost their original lexical content as well as their argument structure. Taking a constructional approach (see Goldberg 2006), we argue that LV constructions present a coherent system of event modulators (cf. Butt \& Lahiri 2001), as shown in Figure 1 (appendix). First, all LVs modulate the interpretation of the event encoded by the main verb by adding a particular aspectual (phasal) profile on the event (ONSET, DURATION or COMPLETION, see example (1)). Secondly, some LVs further add a mirative interpretation, i.e., they express that the event is unexpected or not supposed to have happened. These 'aspectuo-mirative' LVs can be characterised as non-parasitic expressions of mirativity (T. Peterson 2015) whose main purpose is to express surprise, as opposed to parasitic expressions where pragmatic inferencing may lead to a mirative interpretation. Where precisely the mirative interpretation comes from for these LVs still requires further (diachronic) research. Based on corpus evidence (drawn from the Emille Corpus), we further claim that these aspectuo-mirative LVs differ in the degree with which they express mirativity: -pad '-fall' and -pak $\bar{a}$ '-drop' express a higher degree of mirativity than do $-j \bar{a}$ '-go' and -d $e^{\text {'-give’ (which are also the most frequent ones). }}$

Strikingly, the four durative LVs - $\bar{a} s$ '-come' -rah '-stay', -bas 'sit', -tf $\bar{a} l$ '-walk' never express mirativity. This is due, we hypothesize, to absence of differentiation between the states within the event profile, as opposed to the LVs profiling ONSET or COMPLETION, where the difference between successive states can be seen as triggering the surprise reading. The distribution of the four different durative LVs cannot be explained easily, as there do not appear to be any specific and strict constraints based on features such as transitivity, telicity, semantic class, agent or event type, etc. However, our corpus data reveal some specific tendencies (partly confirmed by a collostructional analysis).

As far as we know, our constructional account of these LVs presents the first comprehensive account of Odia LVs; moreover, it presents an innovative contribution to the study of LVs in South-Asian languages that is both theoretically coherent and descriptively adequate.
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## APPENDIX (Figures \& examples)

## A1. Figures



Figure 1: Schematic network of Odia light verb constructions

## A2. Examples

(1) a. ONSET \& MIRATIVITY
$g^{h}$ are pashupashu se hat ${ }^{h} \bar{a} t \quad$ gita $\quad$ g $\bar{a}-i-u t^{h} i l \bar{a}(* k i n t u ~ s e ~ g a \bar{a} i l a ̄ n i)$
house.LOC entering entering he suddenly song sing-LNK-rise.PAST.3SG (*but he sing-
PST.3SG.NEG)
'While entering the house, suddenly he started singing (*but he didn't sing),
b. COMPLETION \& MIRATIVITY
bahut̃a gudāe $k^{h}$ ädya bal-i-padilā
too much food leave-LNK-fall.PST.3SG
'Too much food was left over (unexpectedly).'
c. DURATION \& NO MIRATIVITY
$m \tilde{u}$ traintā dhari pārili nāhin kāhinkinā mũ $\bar{a} s i b \bar{a}$ belaku
I train-CL catch could not because I coming time
se mote ț̄ $\bar{a}$ bauni bisayare kah-i-basila
he me his sister about say-LNK-sit.PAST.3SG
'I missed the train because he went on telling me about his sister when I was about to leave.'

